

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/764,445	01/19/2001	Edward W. Merrill	37697-0033	8881	
26633	7590 07/06/2005	EXAM	EXAMINER		
HELLER EI	IRMAN WHITE & MCA	TRUON	TRUONG, DUC		
1717 RHODE	E ISLAND AVE, NW				
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			. 1711		

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	لہ							
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/764,445		MERRILL ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Duc Truong		1711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 A	<u> April 2005</u> .							
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>124-130 and 143-149</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>124-130 and 143-149</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election rec	juirement.						
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
Λ#20h	We)								
Attachment(s)  1) \[ \sum \text{Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)} \] 4) \[ \sum \text{Interview Summary (PTO-413)} \]									
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infori	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>042805</u> .	3) 5	Paper No(s)/Mail Da  i) Notice of Informal Pa  i) Other:	te	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/764,445

Art Unit: 1711

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed 4/28/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Amendment submitted by Applicant does not overcome the rejection made by Examiner in the last Office action and for the following reasons:

With respect to the priority claims, Applicant again argues that the earliest filing date is February 13, 1996 from US Serial No 08/600,744 (US Patent 5,879,400) and 08/726,313.

As mentioned before, in order to receive this effective filing date, the instant claims must have support from the specification:

- (1) At least in claim 124, the claim requires the irradiating a fabricated article before heating said article in a substantially oxygen-free atmosphere to a temperature above about 150 C, whereas US 5879400 discloses the heating step before irradiating step (see col. 2, lines 37-46; col. 6, lines 56-66),
- (2) At least in claim 124, the claim requires the step of "irradiating a fabricated article comprising UHMWPE to form free radicals in the UHMWPE, then heating the fabricated article in a substantially oxygen-free atmosphere to a temperature above about 150 C, for a time sufficient to recombine substantially all of the free radicals and crosslink the UHMPE; whereas the 08/726,313 disclosed that the crosslink step occurs at the irradiating step and it's not to form free radicals as in the claims, and the second step is to make sure that there is no detectable free radicals in the UHMWPE (See page

Application/Control Number: 09/764,445

Art Unit: 1711

12, second paragraph), instead of recombine all of the free radicals and crosslink--, as in the claims.

Since the Amended claims do not have support form 08/600,744 and 08/726,313, then the effective filing date of the instant application is February 11, 1997. Therefore, The Saum'975 reference is till as prior art against the instant claims.

Claims 124-130 and 143-149 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Saum'975, as stated in the last office action.

Further, a new ground of rejection is raised based on the Amendment to the claims:

Claims 124-125, 130, 143, 147 and related claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention, for the same reasons as 08/726,313, as previously stated.(see page 4, fourth paragraph; page 18, last paragraph onto page 19, line 6; page 20, second paragraph).

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

**Art Unit: 1711** 

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-1081. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DUCTRUONG PRIMARY EXAMINER